

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/994,455	11/28/2001	Kazuyoshi Hiraiwa	9493	
75	90 04/16/2003			
KAZUYOSHI HIRAIWA			EXAMINER	
6-5-8, Tomioka-Nishi Kanazawa-Ku			PANG, ROGER L	
			3681	
			DATE MAILED: 04/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(Z)
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/994,455	HIRAIWA, KAZUYOSHI
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Roger L Pang	3681
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION making the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of the common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at each patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re unication.)) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt ututory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>13 <i>March 2002</i></u> .	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3)□	closed in accordance with the pract		tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
·	ion of Claims		
,—	Claim(s) $1-5$ is/are pending in the approximation	·	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.		
, —	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.	
	ion Papers		
, —	The specification is objected to by the		
10) 📙	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		
	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed		isapproved by the Examiner.
40)□	If approved, corrected drawings are rec	, , , ,	
,	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		2.442(.) (.) (2.
<i>,</i> —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	•	documents have been received.	i
		documents have been received in A	
* 5		of the priority documents have been ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). n for a list of the certified copies not	
14)[] A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _

Attachment(s)

6) Other:

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

The following action is in response to communications filed for application 09/994,455 on March 13, 2003.

Election/Restrictions

Claim 4 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed March 13, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

It is recommended that applicant file a PTO-1449 form (with allotted spaces for initialing, etc.)

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 10, line 9, part "10" should be replaced with --46--; on page 17, line 8, "seed" should be replaced with -- speed--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3681

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 5 not been further treated on the merits.

It is suggested that applicant replace the dependency as --claim # or claim #-- (i.e. --claims 1 or 2--).

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 1, the comma after "comprising" should be replaced with a colon; on line 12, the limitation of "a overdrive" should be replaced with --an overdrive--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. On lines 1-3, the limitations of "said plural sets ... gear sets:" should be removed, since the limitations are repetitive of limitations already claimed in the previous claim. Also, the limitation of "said second planetary gear" on line 3 should be replaced with --second electric motor/generator--, since the "driving member" is not part of the second planetary gear set.

Art Unit: 3681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schmidt '589. With regard to claim 1, Schmidt teaches a power train for hybrid vehicles, comprising, an internal combustion engine 14, an input shaft 12 driven by said engine, an output shaft 52, a plural sets of planetary gear sets between said input shaft and said output shaft, said planetary gear sets including a first planetary gear set 22 and a second planetary gear set 24, said first planetary gear set has first rotatable member 28, said second planetary gear set has a second rotatable member 36, said first rotatable member establishing a reduced speed ratio when said first rotatable member is braked 116, said second rotatable member establishing an overdrive speed ratio when said second rotatable member is braked 126; a first electric motor/generator 88 connectable with said first rotatable member; a second electric motor/generator 90 connectable with said output shaft or with said second rotatable member. With regard to claim 2, Schmidt teaches the powertrain, wherein said second motor/generator has a driving member 102 that is able to drive said second rotatable member via an idle gear 72.

Art Unit: 3681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt '589 as applied to claim 2 above, and in further view of Schmidt '035. Schmidt '589teaches the powertrain, wherein said driving member is able to drive a shaft 74, but lacks the teaching of said shaft being of a power take-off device. Schmidt '035 teaches a powertrain wherein a driving member 76 drives a shaft 82 of a power take-off device 86. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Schmidt '589 to employ a power take-off shaft in further view of Schmidt '035 in order to allow mechanical driving of necessary power take-off devices.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Garnett, Masaki and Holmes have been cited to show similar transmission systems.

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. Group 3600's facsimile number is (703) 305-3597. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place

Art Unit: 3681

the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being	facsimile transmitted to the Patent and
Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 305-3597) on	(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:						
(Signature)						

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark

Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roger L Pang whose telephone number is 703-305-0445. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 703-308-0830. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

RLP April 14, 2003

Roger Pang